SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_7570**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Stakeholders' Perception on Self Help Group's Quality Parameters: A Psychometrics Analysis**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is an original research . The title is well definited, draws attention to the issue to be developed and gives us an idea of what will be developed . The summary is drawn adequately covering all parts of the study. The key words are appropriate . The introduction is clear and contextualizes the issue properly . The literature review discusses the concepts necessary to develop the study and contains recent articles.	Methodology section redrafted and yellow marked. To study the perception a new statistical test is conducted and incorporated. Conclusions are also revised

	The article poses the problem appropriately. The objectives and hypothesis are clear and concise. The methodology does not make clear what were the criteria for mounting the show was not as the collection of primary and secondary data. Nor is it clear how they will analyze the perception . I Suggest that describe more adequately. The authors describe the results of a very interesting and intense sample profile but it does not analyze the perception is that the real objective of the study . Issues relating to perception are placed on the analysis and discussion . I suggest reviewing	

	. Conclusions should be revised to suit the result and analyze and discuss the objectives and hypothesis.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		